

## Deborah.Easterling

---

**From:** Jocelyn.Boyd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 9:15 AM  
**To:** Deborah.Easterling  
**Cc:** davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com  
**Subject:** FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [<mailto:information@sierraclub.org>] On Behalf Of Clay Outen  
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:20 PM  
To: Jocelyn.Boyd  
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Jocelyn Boyd  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. This state has been dominated by a few big monopolies relying on tired old methods for too long. Rate payers are being forced to subsidize inefficient technologies, while promising new ones are discriminated against. It's time to use the bountiful SC sun for something more than a good sweat.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Outen  
1321 Butler St  
Columbia, SC 29205-1415

**Melissa.Purvis**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clay Outen <clayr422@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:21 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Randall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Comer Randall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. This state has been dominated by a few big monopolies relying on tired old methods for too long. Rate payers are being forced to subsidize inefficient technologies, while promising new ones are discriminated against. It's time to use the bountiful SC sun for something more than a good sweat.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Outen  
1321 Butler St  
Columbia, SC 29205-1415

**Melissa.Purvis**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clay Outen <clayr422@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:20 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Howard  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

John Howard  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. This state has been dominated by a few big monopolies relying on tired old methods for too long. Rate payers are being forced to subsidize inefficient technologies, while promising new ones are discriminated against. It's time to use the bountiful SC sun for something more than a good sweat.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Outen  
1321 Butler St  
Columbia, SC 29205-1415

**Melissa.Purvis**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clay Outen <clayr422@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:20 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Nikiya Hall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. This state has been dominated by a few big monopolies relying on tired old methods for too long. Rate payers are being forced to subsidize inefficient technologies, while promising new ones are discriminated against. It's time to use the bountiful SC sun for something more than a good sweat.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Outen  
1321 Butler St  
Columbia, SC 29205-1415

## Nina.Gates

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clay Outen <clayr422@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:20 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Fleming  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. This state has been dominated by a few big monopolies relying on tired old methods for too long. Rate payers are being forced to subsidize inefficient technologies, while promising new ones are discriminated against. It's time to use the bountiful SC sun for something more than a good sweat.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Outen  
1321 Butler St  
Columbia, SC 29205-1415

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clay Outen <clayr422@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:20 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hamilton  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. This state has been dominated by a few big monopolies relying on tired old methods for too long. Rate payers are being forced to subsidize inefficient technologies, while promising new ones are discriminated against. It's time to use the bountiful SC sun for something more than a good sweat.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Outen  
1321 Butler St  
Columbia, SC 29205-1415

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clay Outen <clayr422@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:21 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Whitfield  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Swain Whitfield  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. This state has been dominated by a few big monopolies relying on tired old methods for too long. Rate payers are being forced to subsidize inefficient technologies, while promising new ones are discriminated against. It's time to use the bountiful SC sun for something more than a good sweat.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Outen  
1321 Butler St  
Columbia, SC 29205-1415